UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,383	07/20/2001	Girish N. Nallur	13172.0007U1	2312	
	7590 05/01/200° DSENBERG, P.C.	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1000		CALAMITA, HEATHER			
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, GA	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1637		
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/910,383	NALLUR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637		

	Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637		, .
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the i	nrresno	ndence add	
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP				7033
				andonimont of
1:  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	fidavit, o compliar	r other evider ace with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of	the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee	The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid d	ismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS				
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing o	or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected cla	aims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	7 77			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant	Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		·		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be ent	ered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/o	r appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is b	elow or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n conditi	on for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		LUIMAKA	HORLICK, PH.D EXAMINER
		hgc	12 700	2. Norhal
		•	1000	- work

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation of "wherein the 3' end of the rolling circle replication primer protion is extended to replicate the amplification target circles" in claim 62 requires and additional search and consideration of the prioro art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims as amended and since the amendment was not entered for the reasons above, the arguments will not be considered.